

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/814,304	04/01/2004	Shinya Miyaji	108421-00095 8595			
75	7590 11/20/2006			EXAMINER		
ARRENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE			
Suite 400			A DT LINIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20036-5339		2836				

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
10/814,304	MIYAJI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Luis Roman	2836	

Before the I filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luis Roman	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOF	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
Note: Note: 15 and 15 and 15 and 15 and 16	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	,
(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(alls to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of data NOT place the application	in condition for allows	nco hecause:
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See continuation sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince pecause.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		2 /	
		11/1	-
		DDIAL CIDCUS	/
	QUINTIN	Brian Sircus Visory Patent Exai	MINER
		MOLOGY CENTER 2	
C. Datast and Tradomark Office	TEU?	MACEDOL OFIALFIL SC	JUU

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

From 11: See continuation sheet.

The examiner wishes to note that the amendment will not be entered.

The addition of the new limitation of the electrode being fixed to the members of the feeder terminal portion would require further search and consideration.

The examiner wishes to note that all three references teach the concept of either brazing or bonding two elements in general a conductive (electrically or thermally) element and a insulating (ceramic) material.

Kanno et al. 233 teaches the brazing technique between an aluminum base and a ceramic piece (Col. 15 lines 9-17).

Harada et al.'483 teaches the bonding technique using and spraying material to improve the force of attraction between two pieces (Col. 3 lines 48-53).

Watanabe et al.'526 teaches both brazing and bonding techniques (Col. 9 line 62 to Col. 10 line12, Col. 18 lines 43-54 & Col. 24 lines 16-18
Claim 9>).

Where the limitation of Claim 9 recites: "an adhesive member for bonding/fixing said insulating member to said first metallic feeder pin and said susceptor assembly".